QTL analysis of type I and type IIA fibers in soleus muscle in a cross between LG/J and SM/J mouse strains
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INTRODUCTION

Skeletal muscle has numerous biological functions including locomotion, thermoregulation, respiration, postural support, protection of bones and viscera, and also serves as a repository of amino acids in times of starvation or disease. Muscle tissue in livestock provides an essential source of dietary proteins containing a rich supply of micronutrients and essential amino acids for the growing human population.

Properties of skeletal muscle are determined mainly by the number, type, and size of muscle fibers. Based on rodent studies, the number of fibers is set during embryogenesis (Ontell et al., 1988), while fiber growth occurs mainly during postnatal life (Wirth et al., 1983). Muscle fibers are heterogeneous and can vary in size and functional properties, such as their ability to develop contractile power and resistance to fatigue (Bottinelli and Reggiani, 2000). Human skeletal muscles are mainly comprised of a mixture of type I, IIA, and IIX muscle fibers (Schiaffino, 2010). Varying proportions of these fiber types affect functional properties of the muscle. Postural muscles, such as the soleus, predominantly consist of oxidative, fatigue resistant, type I fibers, whereas the proportion of glycolytic, fast twitch, type II fibers is higher in the phasic muscles (Johnson et al., 1973).

However, there is a substantial individual variation in the proportion of fiber types of homologous muscles in humans (Thorstensson et al., 1976). This variation has both functional and metabolic consequences. For instance, in sports, a high proportion of type I fibers appeared favorable for endurance events, whereas a low proportion of these fibers is suitable for speed, strength, and power events (Costill et al., 1976). Fiber types also appeared to be associated with the overall metabolism. For example, the risk of insulin resistance, obesity, and high blood pressure declines with an increasing proportion of type I fibers (Lillioja et al., 1987; Wåde et al., 1990; Hernelahti et al., 2005). In livestock, the proportion of different fiber types is an important determinant of the commercial value of meat. A high proportion of type I fibers is a desirable trait leading to more tender and favorable meat quality (Sosnicki, 1987).

Genetic factors play an important role in the individual variation of muscle fiber types. Heritability estimates of the proportion of type I fibers range between 0.4 and 0.9 in humans (Komi et al., 1977; Simoneau and Bouchard, 1995). The effect of genetic factors has also been demonstrated for muscle fiber properties in mice (Nimmo et al., 1985; Totsuka et al., 2003; Gudger et al., 2005; Rehfeldt et al., 2005; Gudger et al., 2008), cattle (Stavaux et al., 1994), sheep (Kooymarais et al., 1995; Carpenter et al., 1996), and
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pigs (Van den Maagdenberg et al., 2008). However, specific genes underlying these effects remain largely unknown.

Mice have been extensively used to study the biology of skeletal muscle. The soleus, unlike most of the muscles in mouse, consists of type I and type IIA fibers (Timson et al., 1985), which is similar to the composition of fiber types in humans. Furthermore, because all fibers pass through the belly of mouse soleus, a single cross-section can provide an accurate estimate of the abundance of muscle cells (Timson et al., 1985).

The LG/J and SM/J strains were divergently selected for large (Goodale, 1938) and small (MacArthur, 1944) body size, respectively. These strains provide a model for the elucidation of the genetic mechanisms underlying differences in body size. As muscle tissue constitutes a substantial portion of body weight, this selection resulted in approximately twofold difference in muscle mass (Lionikas et al., 2010). A set of tools were developed by Cheverud et al. (2004), including recombinant inbred strains and advanced intercross lines (Ehrich et al., 2005), for examination of the genetic architecture underlying phenotypic differences between the two strains. An F2 intercross between phenotypically diverging strains provides a first step of a classical quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping strategy. The aim of this study was to initiate the search for genes affecting variation in the number of fibers, their size, and proportion of type I fibers in soleus muscle between the LG/J and SM/J strains of mice.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### MUSCLE SAMPLES

This study was carried out on soleus muscles dissected from males and females of the LG/J and SM/J inbred strains and the F2 intercross (see Table 1). Animals were maintained as previously described (Cheng et al., 2010) and sacrificed at 94 ± 4 days. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Chicago. The methods for harvesting muscle tissue have been described previously (Lionikas et al., 2010). Because the extremes of the population are the main contributors of the linkage information in QTL mapping (Lander and Botstein, 1989), the top and bottom quartiles of 497 F2 mice stratified for soleus muscle weight within males and females were selected for histological analyses. The final sample size used in this study, after discarding cases with poor tissue quality, was 122 F2 mice, approximately equally divided between the upper and lower quartiles.

#### PHENOTYPES

The soleus muscles were frozen in isopentane cooled in liquid nitrogen. Transverse sections from the belly of the muscle were cut at a thickness of 10 μm with a cryotome (Leica CM1850UV) at −20°C. The muscle samples were then subjected to ATPase staining (acid preincubation, pH 4.47) to distinguish between fiber types (Brooke and Kaiser, 1970).

Microscopic images of stained sections were taken at ×5 and ×20 magnification (Figure 1). Muscle fiber traits were manually analyzed using ImageJ software (NIH – version 1.43). The following phenotypes were assessed; muscle fiber number (type I and IIA) and percent of type I muscle fibers, cross-sectional area (CSA) of type I and type IIA fibers.

Fiber CSA’s were measured on ×20 images. For each fiber type, 25 measurements were taken to obtain a value representing the mean CSA of type I or type IIA fibers for that muscle. This was deemed as a representative sample by empirical testing (the mean of 25 randomly selected fibers, ~10% of the same type of fibers per muscle, deviated only 1 out of 100 times from that of all fibers of the muscle at P < 0.05). Muscle fiber numbers were assessed on ×5 images. As all fibers in mouse soleus pass through the belly of the muscle (Timson et al., 1985), this method provides an accurate estimate of the number of fibers constituting the muscle. Total number of type I fibers and total number of type IIA fibers were counted, permitting derivation of percentage of type I fibers.

#### STATISTICAL ANALYSES

The SPSS statistical package was used (SPSS Statistics 17.0). Data are presented as mean ± SD, unless otherwise stated.

A two-way ANOVA was used to examine the effects of strain and sex on total number of fibers and percentage of type I fibers in the parental strains. The CSA of type I and type IIA fibers was analyzed using a two-way paired-measures ANOVA. In the F2 intercross, Pearson or Spearman correlation analyses were carried out depending on Kolmogorov–Smirnoff tests of normality.

#### QTL MAPPING

The F2 mice genotyped at 160 SNP markers approximately evenly distributed across the genome were used (Cheng et al., 2010). Interval mapping analysis was performed using the R/qtl package (R 2.10.1; Broman et al., 2003). Due to the sex differences in muscle mass in these mice (Lionikas et al., 2010), and the discovery of sex-specific QTL in other studies (Lionikas et al., 2003, 2004).

---

### Table 1 | Muscle fiber phenotypes of the LG/J and SM/J strains and their intercross population (mean ± SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Fiber number</th>
<th>Type I fiber, %</th>
<th>Type I CSA, μm²</th>
<th>Type II CSA, μm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SM/J</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>562 ± 102 (n = 7)</td>
<td>37 ± 4 (n = 9)*</td>
<td>875 ± 190 (n = 9)*</td>
<td>957 ± 195 (n = 9)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>514 ± 141 (n = 6)</td>
<td>41 ± 3 (n = 7)**</td>
<td>701 ± 247 (n = 7)**</td>
<td>713 ± 220 (n = 7)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG/J</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>586 ± 62 (n = 7)</td>
<td>45 ± 3 (n = 7)*</td>
<td>1405 ± 299 (n = 7)</td>
<td>1423 ± 262 (n = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>552 ± 123 (n = 7)</td>
<td>58 ± 4 (n = 9)*</td>
<td>1348 ± 279 (n = 9)</td>
<td>1304 ± 269 (n = 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>645 ± 102 (n = 56)*</td>
<td>42 ± 6 (n = 58)**</td>
<td>1058 ± 281 (n = 68)**</td>
<td>1155 ± 329 (n = 68)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>595 ± 107 (n = 38)*</td>
<td>50 ± 7 (n = 46)**</td>
<td>912 ± 223 (n = 54)**</td>
<td>886 ± 209 (n = 54)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*†, ††, or †††: sex effect significant at P < 0.05, P < 0.01, or P < 0.001, respectively (comparison within population).

*/†, †*, or ††*: strain effect significant at P < 0.01, or P < 0.001, respectively (comparison within sex).
2005), we included sex as an additive and interacting covariate. Although solei from top and bottom quartiles of muscle weight were selectively phenotyped, the distribution of muscle fiber traits did not significantly deviate from the normal distribution. Significance thresholds were derived using 1000 permutations for each phenotype using R/qtl. The confidence intervals for each of the QTL were defined as the 1.5-LOD drop off on either side of the peak of the QTL (note that 1.5-LOD intervals may not be 95% confidence intervals; Manichaikul et al., 2006). This interval was expressed in physical map units (megabase) by using the nearest genotyped SNP that flanked the support interval. Limited sample sizes precluded a meaningful search for epistatic interactions.

Terms for all significant QTL and for sex were included in a multiple regression model using the fitqtl function of the R/qtl package (Broman et al., 2003). Because of the limited sample size only the most robust QTL were subjected to this analysis. Terms not significantly ($P \geq 0.05$) contributing to the regression model were dropped one at a time until only significant terms remained.

COMPARATIVE GENOMICS

The search for the regions of conserved synteny between mouse (Build 37.2), pig (Sscrofa9.2), and cattle (Build 5.2) implicated in QTL influencing muscle fiber traits in each species was carried out using comparative genomic methods. First, in the Animal QTL database\(^1\), we identified QTL affecting muscle fiber properties in pig and cattle. Then these QTL were projected to the regions of mouse genome using Synteny function in Ensembl genome browser\(^2\). Only significant QTL from each species were subjected to this analysis.

RESULTS

PHENOTYPIC ANALYSES OF PARENTAL STRAINS

Number of fibers

The soleus muscle consisted of an average of $555 \pm 106$ fibers. There were no statistically significant effects of strain ($P = 0.107$) or sex ($P = 0.155$) on this variable, however, the strain-by-sex interaction approached statistical significance ($P = 0.053$).

Percentage of type I fibers

There was a significant strain-by-sex interaction ($P < 0.001$) characterized by a greater proportion of type I fibers in the LG/J strain which was particularly apparent in females (Table 1).

CSA of muscle fibers

The CSA was similar between type I and type IIA fibers ($P = 0.516$), and larger in the LG/J compared to the SM/J strain ($P < 0.0001$). Fibers in males tended to have larger CSA compared to females although the difference did not reach statistical significance ($P = 0.088$; Table 1). The strain-by-sex interaction term was not statistically significant either.

\(^1\)www.animalgenome.org/QTLdb

\(^2\)www.ensembl.org

FIGURE 1 | Representative images of LG/J (left) and SM/J (right) male soleus cross-sections following myosin ATPase staining (acid preincubation). (A,B) \(\times 5\) Magnification, (C,D) \(\times 20\) magnification. Dark fibers type I, pale fibers type IIA.
**MUSCLE FIBER TRAITS IN THE F2 INTERCROSS**

The total number of soleus fibers in the F2 mice was comparable to that of the parental strains (Table 1) and slightly higher in males than females ($P < 0.03$). The percentage of type I fibers was higher in females than males ($P < 0.0001$). There was a significant sex-by-fiber type interaction ($P < 0.0001$) for CSA, type I fibers were smaller than type IIA fibers in males ($P < 0.0001$) but not in females ($P = 0.11$).

The CSA of type I and type IIA fibers were the only two traits that were significantly correlated (correlation corrected for sex, $r = 0.879$, $P < 0.0001$). The total number of fibers and CSA of type I and type IIA fibers was positively correlated with soleus weight in both males and females (Table A1 in Appendix), whereas the inverse association of soleus weight with the percentage of type I fibers in males or females was not statistically significant.

**QTL ANALYSES**

We identified significant and suggestive QTL for CSA of type I and type IIA fibers, and suggestive QTL for the percentage of type I fibers, however, no QTL was detected for the total number of fibers (Figure 2).

Significant QTL for CSA on chromosomes 1, 6, and 11 overlapped between type I and type IIA fiber types. We named these muscle fiber QTL $Mfq1$, $Mfq2$, and $Mfq3$, respectively. The LG/J allele conferred a greater CSA at all significant QTLs. Similarly, for all but one of the suggestive QTL the LG/J allele also conferred a greater CSA of the fibers (chromosomes 2, 5, 13, and 16, but not 3; see Table 2). Significant QTL individually explained between 6.1 and 11.2% of phenotypic variation, in aggregate accounting for $\sim$30 and $\sim$28% of variance of type ICSA and type IIA CSA, respectively. The effect of the $Mfq3$ locus appeared to be male-specific (Figure 3).

Suggestive QTL for the percentage of type I fibers were detected on chromosomes 2, 3, and 4 with the SM/J increasing allele increasing type I fiber percentage on chromosomes 2 and 3 and the LG/J allele increasing on chromosome 4. The position of the QTL affecting CSA of type IIA fibers and percentage of type I fibers overlapped on chromosome 2, however, different alleles conferred an increasing effect (LG/J and SM/J, respectively). There was also some positional overlap between QTL for CSA of the fibers and percentage of type I fibers on chromosome 3. In both instances an increase was associated with SM/J allele.

**ANALYSES OF CONSERVED SYNTENY**

Using comparative genomics methods, we identified several concordant QTL mapping to conserved syntenic regions of mouse and pig genomes. Four regions within the $Mfq1$ locus and one in $Mfq2$ and $Mfq3$ loci were implicated in variation of muscle fiber diameter in pig (Figure 4). No concordant QTL mapping to conserved syntenic regions between mouse and cattle were found.

**DISCUSSION**

A recent study on muscle weight in LG/J and SM/J strains identified a twofold difference in soleus muscle size between them (Lionikas et al., 2010). The present report provides some insight into the mechanisms underlying this difference. It appeared that there is no difference in the number of muscle fibers between the LG/J and SM/J strains, however, the percentage of type I fibers was higher and CSA, of both type I and type IIA fibers, was greater in LG/J strain. This difference in the percentage of type I fibers could not noticeably contribute to the muscle mass difference between the strains because the CSA of both types of fibers was similar. The between-strain comparison revealed that the CSA of LG/J strain fibers were 1.5–1.9-fold larger compared to that of SM/J strain fibers, and could explain a substantial fraction of the difference in muscle weight.

Based on the findings on the muscle fiber traits, we hypothesize that the between-strain differences have arisen at different developmental and growth stages and that distinct mechanisms are responsible for the differences in the percentage of type I fibers and CSA of the fibers. Specifically, the number of soleus fibers is set prenatally (Wirtz et al., 1983; Ontell et al., 1988) while the CSA increases with postnatal growth (Wirtz et al., 1983). At birth, all mouse soleus fibers histologically appear as type I, then the composition of fibers undergoes a rapid transformation and the proportion of type I and type IIA fibers acquired by the third week of age remains constant throughout most of their lifespan (Wirtz et al., 1983). The hypothesis of an independent control of the two
Table 2 | Characteristics of muscle fiber QTL in F2 intercross of LG/J and SM/J strains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Chr</th>
<th>Start, Mb*</th>
<th>Peak, Mb</th>
<th>End, Mb</th>
<th>% Var**</th>
<th>Increasing allele***</th>
<th>Locus****</th>
<th>LOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSAI/CSAIIA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>109.4</td>
<td>148.7</td>
<td>11.2/6.1</td>
<td>LG^R</td>
<td>Mfq1</td>
<td>6.43/6.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Type I</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>116.3</td>
<td>157.0</td>
<td>178.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>SM^A</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAI/CSAIIA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>139.9</td>
<td>157.0</td>
<td>168.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>LG^D</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Type I</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>SM^R</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.56/3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAI/CSAIIA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>112.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>SM^R</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Type I</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>104.9</td>
<td>1475</td>
<td></td>
<td>LG^A</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAI</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>132.7</td>
<td>139.7</td>
<td>1479</td>
<td></td>
<td>LG^A</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAI/CSAIIA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>118.4</td>
<td>144.9</td>
<td>9.5/11.7</td>
<td>LG^A</td>
<td>Mfq2</td>
<td>5.16/6.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAI/CSAIIA</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>8.9^M/10.1^M</td>
<td>LG^A</td>
<td>Mfq3</td>
<td>5.04/6.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAI</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>LG^D</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAI/CSAIIA</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>LG^D</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Physical map position is based on Build 37.2.
**Percent of variance of cross-sectional area of type I and type IIA fibers (CSAI/CSAIIA) explained by significant QTL; ^M indicates male-specific effect.
***Marker genotype plot examination indicated that the effect of the increasing allele was additive ^A, dominant ^D, or recessive ^R.
****Locus name was assigned to significant QTL only.

traits is consistent with the fact that the CSA of type I and type IIA fibers in the F2 population correlated positively and strongly but neither correlated with the total number of fibers. Similarly, the percentage of type I fibers did not correlate with the total fiber number either.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first QTL study on muscle fiber properties in the mouse. The QTL analysis identified three muscle fiber QTL affecting the CSA of type I and IIA fibers, Mfq1, Mfq2, and Mfq3, together explaining more than a quarter of the phenotypic variance. However, quantification of the effect sizes has to be treated cautiously for two reasons; the bias due to selection of a subset of samples makes the effect size estimate uncertain (Saunak Sen, personal communication), furthermore, the R^2 values tend to be inflated particularly in small samples (McClandon, 1994). The overlapping effect of these loci on both types of fibers is not unexpected considering the strong phenotypic correlation between these two variables. The Mfq2 and Mfq3 loci also encompassed the confidence intervals of several QTL affecting muscle weight in crosses between LG/J and SM/J (Lionikas et al., 2010).
Some overlap between soleus weight and the CSA of its fibers was anticipated based on a significant correlation between these variables (Table A1 in the Appendix). Interestingly the Mfq1 locus on chromosome 1 appears to be fiber-specific as it has not been implicated in muscle weight analyses. This finding indicates that tissue analyses at the cellular level (e.g., size of the fibers and proportion of different fiber types) can lead to novel QTL not captured in the analyses of composite phenotypes such as weight.

The effect of the Mfq3 locus appeared more pronounced in males than in females. Sex-specific QTL of muscle traits in mouse are not uncommon (Brockmann et al., 2000; Lionikas et al., 2003, 2005) and suggest involvement of sex-specific hormones, however, the precise underlying mechanisms remain unknown.

The percentage of type I fibers was higher in the soleus of the LG/J strain compared to SM/J by 8 and 17 percentage points in males and females, respectively (Table 1). Strain differences in this trait have been reported before (Van der Laarse et al., 1984; Nimmo et al., 1985; Totsuka et al., 2003) but the underlying genetic mechanisms remain poorly understood. We mapped three suggestive QTL affecting the percentage of type I fibers. The SM/J allele conferred increasing percentage of type I fibers in two of those QTL. Observation of an increasing allele from the strain with lower overall phenotypic value is not unusual in polygenic traits particularly when the strains were not selected for divergence in the phenotype in question (Lionikas et al., 2003, 2005).

Previous fiber typing studies on the soleus in various genetic backgrounds of mice found that soleus fiber numbers ranged from anywhere between 450 and 984 muscle fibers (Rowe and Goldspink, 1969; Luff and Goldspink, 1970; Timson, 1982; Wirtz et al., 1983; Ontell et al., 1988; Summers and Medrano, 1994; Rehfeldt et al., 1999). The total number of soleus fibers identified in the present study was within this range. Interestingly, however, it did not differ between the two strains suggesting that there might be not any alleles segregating that affect fiber formation. However, it is also possible that both increasing and decreasing allelic effects in each strain can result in similar phenotypic values between strains (Darvasi and Soller, 1992; Jin et al., 2004; Sen et al., 2009).

Several studies have reported genetic effects on muscle fiber properties in the mouse model. The “mini muscle” locus which is known to affect muscle fiber area has been mapped to 67.4–70.1 Mb on chromosome 11 (Guderley et al., 2006; Hartmann et al., 2008) in close proximity of the Mfq3 locus, however the underlying gene(s) remain unknown. Effects of single genes on muscle fiber area were observed in several knockout or mutant models. Those genes code for proteins involved in regulation of transcription, Stat5a and Stat5b (Klover et al., 2009), PparaC1a (Leick et al., 2009); growth, Ky (Blanc et al., 2001); growth and metabolism-related signaling, myostatin (McPherron et al., 1997), leptin (Sáinz et al., 2009); calcineurin (Parsons et al., 2003); defense against oxidative stress, Sod1 (Bordet et al., 1999); structural integrity, alpha-actin-3 (Macarthur et al., 2008), dystrophin (Briguet et al., 2004); and contractile function, IIB myosin heavy chains (Allen et al., 2001). Disruption of those genes often led to pathological phenotypes not observed in the present study. However, it is conceivable that our population contains different alleles that result in milder phenotypes. None of these genes, however, reside within support intervals of significant QTL identified in the present study. Thus the cross of LG/J and SM/J strains has the potential to uncover genes which have not been previously implicated in muscle fiber properties. Processing of solei samples from an advanced intercross (Lionikas et al., 2010) will permit us to improve the resolution of QTL mapping facilitating nomination of the candidate genes.

Because the variation in the number of muscle fibers and their size can contribute to the variation in body weight, some overlap between the genetic architecture of these variables is plausible in the crosses involving the LG/J and SM/J strains. In support of this assumption, the Mfq1 locus was found to coincide with the body weight locus Bwtn2 identified in a cross between the two strains (Kenney-Hunt et al., 2006). In addition, the Mfq1 and Mfq2 loci overlapped with the Obesity and body weight QTL in a cross between the SM/J and NZB/BINJ inbred strains, Obwq1 and Obwq3, respectively (Stylianou et al., 2006).

**COMPARATIVE GENOMICS**

It is reasonable to assume that the same genes are involved in variation of concordant traits in different species. The myostatin gene affecting mouse (Rehfeldt et al., 2005), cattle (McPherron and Lee, 1997), dog (Mosher et al., 2007), and human (Schuelke et al., 2004) muscle is an important illustration of such across-species effects on this tissue. While this study presents the first QTL scan for muscle fiber traits in mouse, similar analyses in pig and cattle. The order of genes across chromosomal segments is often preserved across different species. Such regions are called syntenic or regions of conserved synteny (Nadeau and Taylor, 1984). Synteny can be used to obtain supportive evidence for the identified QTL and it can also help narrow down the area of search for the underlying genes (Burgess-Herbert et al., 2008). Four regions (between 69–96, 97–100, 115–118, and 133–149 Mb) within the Mfq1 locus overlapped with syntenic regions in pig that contain QTL affecting the diameter of fibers, DIAMEF, on SSC2, 4, 9, and 15 (Wimmers et al., 2006). We found only one syntenic region in the Mfq2 locus (116–118 Mb), which was also implicated in diameter of pig IIA fibers QTL, FIB2ADIAM, on SSC14 (Estellé et al., 2008). The Mfq3 locus contained a syntenic region (48–51 Mb) implicated in DIAMEF QTL on SSC2 (Wimmers et al., 2006). Thus, comparative genomics findings supported the role of all Mfq loci in determining the size of muscle fibers. Furthermore, this analysis suggested that homologous genes within the regions of conserved synteny could be underlying the effects of the QTL.

In conclusion, we identified three significant QTL contributing to the difference in CSA of muscle fibers between the LG/J and SM/J strains. Regions of conserved synteny from the identified loci were also implicated in fiber phenotypes in pig supporting the importance of these genomic regions in determining muscle fiber properties.
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## Appendix

### Table A1 | Phenotypic correlations in F2 intercross between LG/J and SM/J strains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Soleus, mg</th>
<th>Total no. of fibers</th>
<th>% of type I</th>
<th>CSAI</th>
<th>CSAIIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Soleus, mg</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>−0.149</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (two-tailed)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>5.06E-09</td>
<td>3.03E-13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>df</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total no. of fibers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>−0.075</td>
<td>−0.147</td>
<td>−0.052</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (two-tailed)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.618</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>df</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>% of type I</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>−0.117</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>−0.041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (two-tailed)</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>0.684</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>df</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CSAI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (two-tailed)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>3.76E-40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>df</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>119</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CSAIIA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (two-tailed)</td>
<td>2.64E-05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>df</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within sex Spearman’s rho test was used for correlations involving soleus weight (numbers italicized, males – above and females – below the diagonal). Partial correlations correcting for sex effect were used for the remaining comparisons. Correlations statistically significant at $P < 0.05$ or better are bolded.